Criteria for Candidate Approval

The NAGC Elections Committee will use a rubric to evaluate and determine which candidates are recommended for the slate of potential new Board members for election. The evaluation of qualified applicants is based on specific criteria established in advance, considering expertise appropriate to the strategic emphases of the organization, and the consideration of cultivating new leadership for NAGC.

The following criteria are used to consider each candidate for election based on a rating scale of 0-3: 3=highest degree of contribution, 2=medium degree of contribution, 1=minimal evidence, 0=no evidence

1. Participation in and support of NAGC:
   • Member in good standing (more than 1 year)
   • NAGC Convention attendance
   • Presenter at NAGC Conventions
   • Committee, Network, Task Force, Work Group participation
   • Held leadership positions within NAGC

2. Evidence of competency and experience in gifted education:
   • Substantial professional involvement in gifted education
   • Authored contributions to the field (books, whitepapers, other creative contributions)
   • Awards, certificates or other recognition received in gifted or related fields
   • Track record of content contributions over time

3. Evidence of advocacy and leadership experience
   • Evidence of financial management experience
   • Demonstrated potential to contribute to the Board and the organization in positive ways
   • Leadership positions or experience in gifted advocacy or related organizations outside NAGC
   • Board and volunteer positions outside of NAGC

4. Demonstrated potential to contribute relevant and needed expertise – through leadership, knowledge of the field, and understanding of the professional organization – to the NAGC Board through a constructive and positive approach.